

CRIJ 5330 DISCUSSION CRITERIA			
Criteria (200 POINTS)	Unsatisfactory (0 POINTS)	Satisfactory (20 POINTS)	Exemplary (40 POINTS)
Evaluation Design (40 POINTS)	Fails to discuss design constraints and alternatives; provides no justification for assumptions	Adequately explains and justifies the design constraints, design alternatives and assumptions used	In-depth explanations and justifications are provided on design constraints, alternatives and assumptions used
Theoretical Explanations (40 POINTS)	Fails to adequately cover theoretical explanations	Adequately addresses theoretical explanations	Demonstrates in-depth research and analysis
Structure (40 POINTS)	Paragraphs are poorly organized; use of sections is illogical and hinders document navigation	Paragraphs are usually well-organized; use of sections is logical and generally allows easy navigation of the document	All paragraphs are well-organized; use of sections is logical and allows easy navigation through the document
Spelling and mechanics (40 POINTS)	Sentences are poorly written; there are numerous incorrect word choices and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling	Sentences are generally well-written; there are a few incorrect word choices and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling	Sentences are well-written; there are no incorrect word choices and the text is free of errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling
Documentation and References (40 POINTS)	Fails to correctly document any sources or to utilize appropriate citation forms	Most sources are correctly documented; appropriate citation forms are generally utilized	All sources are correctly and thoroughly documented; appropriate citation forms are utilized throughout